

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED I		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/038,380	12/21/2001	12/21/2001 Ritske Johannes van Leeuwen		9273		
759	90 02/27/2003					
VARNUM, RI	DDERING, SCHMID	EXAMINER				
P.O. BOX 352 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501			PUROL, DAVID M			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3634			

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/038,380

David Purol

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

Ritske Van Leeuwen

Art Unit 3634

1	ī	ī	T	П	Вí	П	ш	Ш	П	П	П	п	П	п
ı	١	ı	II	Ш	В		Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	II	п
ı	I	ı	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш		ш	Ш	Ш	H	Ш	п
J	I	ľ	I	Н	H	H	Ш	Ш	ш	H	Н	H	II	Н
ľ	I	ı	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	н	Ш	Ш	П	Ш	H
ľ	П	п	и	H	ш	11	ш	ш	ш	ш	ш	11	•	11

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
	or Reply	TO THE PARTY OF TH				
THE N	data of this communication.	to event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) 🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 21, 20</u>	001				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	on is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex part	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is to Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s)					
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
	tion Papers					
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	o this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.				
		e been received in Application No				
	application from the International Burea					
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
		priority under 35 0.3.C. 33 120 and/or 121.				
Attachm	nent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
_	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/038,380

Art Unit: 3634

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the inclusion of legal phraseology "means", "comprises". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. On page 3 of the specification reference is made to figures 2a and 2b. However, there are no drawing figures labeled figure 2a and figure 2b Correction is required.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

These claims are replete with recitations for which there meaning is not understood and further appear to contain idiomatic/grammatical errors. For example: claim 1, lines 1-2 "means of an insect-repellent gauze screen" which is a means clause devoid of a statement of a specified function, lines 4-5 "is accommodated", line 7 "which possess a", line "securing means so as to" which is a means clause devoid of a statement of a specified function, line 12 "in particular", line 12 "decorative parts present"; claim 5, line 3 "in cooperation with"; claim 6, line 4 "possess a section".

These claims are replete with terminology for which there is no antecedent basis. For example: claim 3, line 2 "the

Application/Control Number: 10/038,380 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

longitudinal direction", line 3 "in mounted condition", line 4 "the inner wall"; claim 5, line 2 "the direction", line 3 "the opposite side".

Furthermore, these claims are narrative in form and replete with functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Poppema. Poppema discloses the claimed invention including a screen 118, a roller rod 120, a pulling beam 115.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,380

Art Unit: 3634

5. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Lang,
Thumann, Johnston, Davies et al.

Page 4

David M. Purol Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

DMP February 23, 2003 (703) 308-2168